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Health technology
Immunohistochemistry (IHC) or Genta stain in the detection of H. pylori gastritis.

Type of intervention
Diagnosis.

Economic study type
Cost-effectiveness analysis.

Study population
Gastric biopsies from the antrum (81% of H. pylori positive group, 74% of H. pylori negative group) or from the gastric bodies of patients with gastritis classified as positive or negative for H. pylori by Genta stain test.

Setting
The setting was secondary care. The economic study was conducted in the Department of Pathology, Baystate Medical Center, Springfield, Mass, USA.

Dates to which data relate
Data for the effectiveness study were collected over the period 1992 to 1995. No dates for resource use data or prices were specified.

Source of effectiveness data
The estimates for the final outcomes were derived from a single study.

Link between effectiveness and cost data
The retrospective costing was based on average resource use and corresponding prices at the research setting. The costs were not estimated for the same patient samples as those used in the effectiveness analysis.

Study sample
The study sample consisted of 100 consecutive cases of gastritis considered positive for H. pylori by Genta stain, and 100 consecutive cases of gastritis considered negative for H. pylori by Genta stain. No power calculations were reported in the determination of the sample size.

Study design
This was a non-randomised study based on a case series with concurrent controls, undertaken at a single medical centre.

**Analysis of effectiveness**
The main outcomes were the sensitivity and specificity of IHC compared to Genta stain test for detection of H. pylori. Two observers had independently evaluated the presence of gastritis (defined as the presence of a uniform infiltration of the superficial and/or deep lamina propria by lymphocytes and plasma cells) or activity (defined as additional presence of neutrophils). The 200 cases were stained with anti-H. pylori rabbit antiserum (1:160 dilution; Dako Corporation, Carpinteria, Calif; lot III, Ca 93013), using an autoimmunostainer according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Antigen retrieval was performed by microwave heating in a sodium citrate buffer. An avidin-biotin detection method was used with 3,3’-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride visualisation. IHC slides for detection of H. pylori were reviewed and graded by 2 observers who were blinded to the results of the Genta stain. Density of organisms was graded for both Genta stain and IHC as focal when found in 1 to 2 gastric pits, multifocal when 3 to 6 gastric pits were involved, and diffuse when the gastric surface and pit epithelium of most of the biopsy showed bacteria.

**Effectiveness results**
The sensitivity of IHC was 97% for the 100 cases with H. pylori detected by Genta stain. The specificity of IHC was 98% for the 100 cases negative for H. pylori by Genta stain. The density of bacterial infection was concordant by both techniques in 83 cases (high agreement between the two methods for grading density of organisms K=0.85, p <0.001). In 14 discordant cases, bacterial density was diffuse by Genta and multifocal by IHC.

**Measure of benefits used in the economic analysis**
No summary measure of benefits was used in the economic analysis. The benefits are therefore associated with the effectiveness results reported above. The costs were analysed separately for the two screening methods and thus the cost-effectiveness analysis is of cost-consequences design.

**Direct costs**
The costs of reagents as well as the technical time costs for IHC and Genta stain tests were reported. Per-hour labour costs for the laboratory were also provided. The costs were based on the average costs at the laboratory. No date for the price data was specified and the costs were not reflated. Discounting was not relevant due to the short term of analysis (less than one year).

**Statistical analysis of costs**
A Chi-square test was used to compare positive and negative cases as determined by Genta stain for pathologic features. A significance level of p=0.01 was used for multiple comparison of cases. The grading of density of organisms on Genta and IHC stains was compared using the k measure of reliability.

**Indirect Costs**
No indirect costs were evaluated.

**Currency**
US dollars ($).

**Sensitivity analysis**
No sensitivity analysis was performed.
Estimated benefits used in the economic analysis
Not applicable, the reader is referred to the effectiveness results above.

Cost results
Costs of reagents for IHC and Genta stain tests were the same ($5 per slide). The technical time for IHC was 1 hour, and 2 hours for Genta stain. The labour costs were $20 per hour, which resulted in lower costs per case with IHC.

Synthesis of costs and benefits
No synthesis of costs and benefits was performed.

Authors' conclusions
For gastric biopsies submitted to detect H. pylori infection, especially after triple therapy, IHC with a specific antibody constitutes an accurate and cost-effective technique.

CRD COMMENTARY - Selection of comparators
As Genta stain was the "gold standard" for testing for H. pylori, its selection as a comparator was appropriate.

Validity of estimate of measure of benefit
The estimates of the sensitivity and specificity of IHC and Genta stain for detection of H. pylori provide appropriate evidence to show that the two tests are not equivalent.

Validity of estimate of costs
Only some of the directly employed resources were considered. The fact that the average cost estimates of the labour time were not based on the study samples could hinder important cost differences. The lack of a price year limits the generalisability of the cost data.

Other issues
Appropriate comparisons were made with other studies in relation to the association between chronic active gastritis and H. pylori infection found in this study. In the case of non-equivalent tests an incremental cost-effectiveness analysis would, perhaps, have been more appropriate, and would permit the estimation of the incremental benefit of providing the most effective test.

Implications of the study
The study was found to support the application of IHC test as a cost-effective method for H-pylori detection in gastric biopsies. This conclusion would benefit from additional evidence for the effectiveness and costs of IHC compared to Genta stain tests for detecting H. pylori.
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