Digital mammography versus film-screen mammography: technical, clinical and economic assessments

Ho C, Hailey D, Warburton R, MacGregor J, Pisano E, Joyce J

Record Status
This is a bibliographic record of a published health technology assessment from a member of INAHTA. No evaluation of the quality of this assessment has been made for the HTA database.

Citation

Authors' objectives
The aim of this report is to compare the technical, clinical and potential costs of digital mammography (DM) and film-screen mammography (FSM) within the context of the Canadian health care system based on a systematic review of published and unpublished studies.

Authors' conclusions
DR-M has significantly higher annualized costs than either FSM or CR-M. Potential clinical benefits (improved diagnostic accuracy, shorter examination time, lower radiation dose) for patients, institutions and payers have not been demonstrated in a clinical setting. The ability to detect cancer is comparable for DR-M and FSM. (There is not sufficient data on clinical effectiveness of CR-M). Assuming that DR-M and CR-M are, at best, clinically equivalent to FSM, the minimum-cost system is preferred; therefore, conventional FSM is preferable to DM at this time.
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