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Authors' objectives
To determine the comparative clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of topotecan (Hycamtin®, GlaxoSmithKline), pegylated liposomal doxorubicin hydrochloride (PLDH; Caelyx®, Schering-Plough), paclitaxel (Taxol®, Bristol-Myers Squibb), trabectedin (Yondelis®, PharmaMar) and gemcitabine (Gemzar®, Eli Lilly and Company) for the treatment of advanced, recurrent ovarian cancer.

Authors' conclusions
For platinum-sensitive disease, it was not possible to compare the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of platinum-based therapies with non-platinum-based therapies. For people with platinum-sensitive disease and treated with platinum-based therapies, paclitaxel plus platinum could be considered cost-effective compared with platinum at a threshold of £30,000 per additional QALY. For people with platinum-sensitive disease and treated with non-platinum-based therapies, it is unclear whether PLDH would be considered cost-effective compared with paclitaxel at a threshold of £30,000 per additional QALY; trabectedin plus PLDH is unlikely to be considered cost-effective compared with PLDH. For patients with PRR disease, it is unlikely that topotecan would be considered cost-effective compared with PLDH. Randomised controlled trials comparing platinum with non-platinum-based treatments might help to verify the comparative effectiveness of these regimens.
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